

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/971,793	10/05/2001	Carolyn A. Brodie	YOR920010537US1	3295
7590 07/16/2004			EXAMINER	
DAVID AKER 23 SOUTHERN ROAD			YOUNG, JOHN L	
HARTSDALE,	·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/971,793	BRODIE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John L Young	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	DI V IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 M	ONTH(S) EDOM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a refunction of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r eply within the statutory minimum of thir d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	October 2001.		
	nis action is non-final.	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	nn		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
pplication Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to I	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			121(d
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>	nts have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li></ol>	nts have been received in Ap	oplication No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the pri</li></ol>		received in this National Stage	е
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
JOHN LEONARD YOUNG, ES	o fr		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17 /1	7001	
ttachment(s)	_ <b>y</b>		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3) 5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	6) Other:		

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040712

Art Unit: 3622

#### **NON-FINAL REJECTION**

#### DRAWINGS

1. This application has been filed with drawings that are acceptable for examination and publication purposes. The review process for drawings that are included with applications on filing has been modified in view of the new requirement to publish applications at eighteen months after the filing date of applications, or any priority date claimed under 35 U.S.C. §§119, 120, 121, or 365.

## CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 U.S.C. §101

35 U.S.C. §101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1, 3-5 & 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101, because said claim is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As per claim 1, as drafted said claim is not limited by language within the technological arts (see *In re Waldbaum*, 173 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1972); *In re* 

Art Unit: 3622

Musgrave, 167 USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970) and In re Johnston, 183 USPQ 172 (CCPA 1974) also see MPEP 2106 IV 2(b) even though said claim is limited by language to a useful, concrete and tangible application (See State Street v. Signature financial Group, 149 F.3d at 1374-75, 47 USPQ 2d at 1602 (Fed Cir. 1998); AT&T Corp. v. Excel, 50 USPQ 2d 1447, 1452 (Fed. Cir. 1999)

Note: it is well settled in the law that "[although] a claim should be interpreted in light of the specification disclosure, it is generally considered improper to read limitations contained in the specification into the claims. See *In re Prater*, 415, F.2d 1393, 162 USPQ 541 (CCPA 1969) and *In re Winkhaus*, 527 F.2d 637, 188 USPQ 129 (CCPA 1975), which discuss the premise that one cannot rely on the specification to impart limitations to the claims that are not recited in the claims." (See MPEP 2173.05(q)).

Claims 3-5 & 7-13 are rejected pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 101 for substantially the same reasons as claim 1

## CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Serial Number: 09/971,793 (Brodie et al.)

4

Art Unit: 3622

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Miron 6,401,239; class 707/203, (06/04/2002) [US f/d: 03/22/1999] (herein referred to as "Miron").

As per claim 1, Miron (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1A; FIG. 1B; FIG. 3; FIG. 5; FIG. 6; FIG. 7; FIG. 8; col. 1, ll. 10-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; and whole document) implicitly shows the elements and limitations of claim 1.

Miron lacks explicit disclosure of "providing . . . alerts over an[sic] network. . . .", even though Miron (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1A; FIG. 1B; FIG. 3; FIG. 5; FIG. 6; FIG. 7; FIG. 8; col. 1, ll. 10-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; and whole document) implicitly shows same.

Serial Number: 09/971,793 (Broadie et al.)

Art Unit: 3622

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Miron (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1A; FIG. 1B; FIG. 3; FIG. 5; FIG. 6; FIG. 7; FIG. 8; col. 1, ll. 10-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; and whole document) implicitly shows "providing . . . alerts over an[sic] network. . . ." and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Miron cited above as showing "providing . . . alerts over an[sic] network. . . ." because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Miron would have provided "a client-server system for managing versions of files an the client computer and the server computer, and for downloading versions of files form the server computer to the client computer . . . ." (see Miron (col. 2, ll. 40-46)), based on the motivation to modify Miron so as to "[send] products and interactive marketing material in a non-disruptive manner to users who have expressed an interest in receiving them." (See Miron (col. 2, ll. 46-50)).

As per claims 2-13, Miron shows the method of claim 1 and subsequent base claims depending from 1.

Miron (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1A; FIG. 1B; FIG. 3; FIG. 5; FIG. 6; FIG. 7; FIG. 8; col. 1, ll. 10-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; and whole document) implicitly shows the elements and limitations of

6

Art Unit: 3622

claims 2-13.

Miron lacks explicit recitation of the elements of claims 2-13, even though

Miron implicitly shows same.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Miron (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1A; FIG. 1B; FIG. 3; FIG. 5; FIG. 6; FIG. 7; FIG. 8; col. 1, ll. 10-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; and whole document) implicitly shows the elements and limitations of claims 2-13, and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Miron cited above as implicitly showing the elements and limitations of claims 2-13 because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Miron would have provided "a client-server system for managing versions of files an the client computer and the server computer, and for downloading versions of files form the server computer to the client computer. . . . " (see Miron (col. 2, ll. 40-46)), based on the motivation to modify Miron so as to "[send] products and interactive marketing material in a non-disruptive manner to users who have expressed an interest in receiving them." (See Miron (col. 2, ll. 46-50)).

Serial Number: 09/971,793 (Broadie et al.)

Art Unit: 3622

### CONCLUSION

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any response to this action may be sent via facsimile to either:

(703)305-7687 (for formal communications EXPEDITED PROCEDURE) or

(703) 305-7687 (for formal communications marked AFTER-FINAL) or

(703) 746-7240 (for informal communications marked PROPOSED or DRAFT).

Hand delivered responses may be brought to:

Seventh Floor Receptionist Crystal Park V 2451 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Young who may be reached via telephone at

7

Serial Number: 09/971,793

(Broadie et al.)

Art Unit: 3622

(703) 305-3801. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, may be reached at (703) 305-8469.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

JOHN LEONARD YOUNG, ESQ. PRIMARY EXAMINER

John L. Young

Primary Patent Examiner

July 12, 2004